

DEBRIEF TEAM NAMES:	LOCATION:	DATE OF EVENT:
	EVENT TYPE: (Exercise, Live Incident, Other)	

JESIP Principle - Co-location	
OBJECTIVES	LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below
Were commanders easily identifiable? (Tabards)	
What command structures were in place?	
Did commanders meet face to face?	
Was a Forward Command Post (FCP) established?	
Did commanders have timely on-scene briefings?	

JESIP Principle – Communication	
OBJECTIVES	LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below
Was common terminology used?	
Was an Airwave interoperability talk group used?	
Was relevant information shared across all services and control rooms throughout the incident?	
Was METHANE used to pass information to control?	
Were effective communications established between: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operational & tactical commanders • Commanders and control rooms • Emergency service commanders and other responding organisations • Local emergency service control rooms • Emergency service control rooms and national co-ordinating centres 	

Date	Status	Document Name	Version	Page
16/05/2016	Published	JESIP Interoperability De-brief template v1.4	1.4	Page 2 of 7

JESIP Principle - Co-ordination	
OBJECTIVES	LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below
Did Commanders use the JDM as single decision model?	
Were Capabilities & Responsibilities identified?	
Were joint decisions on priorities made and if so, how were the priorities arrived at and agreed?	
Were actions joined up and therefore efficient and effective?	
Were ALL on scene resources used appropriately?	
Was there an understanding of the capability, capacity and limitations of each other's assets?	
Did someone take the lead co-ordinators role during Multi-Agency meetings?	

Date	Status	Document Name	Version	Page
16/05/2016	Published	JESIP Interoperability De-brief template v1.4	1.4	Page 3 of 7

JESIP Principle - Understanding of Risk	
OBJECTIVES	LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below
Were threats and hazards identified, understood and treated different by each emergency service?	
Were limitations and capabilities of people and equipment identified?	
Was a joint understanding of risk achieved by sharing information about the likelihood and potential impacts of threats and hazards? e.g. sharing of risk assessments	

JESIP Principle - Shared Situational Awareness	
OBJECTIVES	LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below
Did Commanders have a common understanding of what has happened, what is happening now and the consequences of events?	
Did each of the emergency services understand their roles in resolving the emergency?	
Was METHANE regularly used to provide a Common Operating Picture (CoP)	
<p>Was the Joint Decision Model utilised identifying:</p> <p>Situation: What is happening? What are the impacts and risks? What might happen and what is being done about it?</p> <p>Direction: What end state is desired? What is the aim and objective of the emergency response? What priorities will inform and guide direction?</p> <p>Action: Were actions decided? What needed to be done to achieve a positive end state?</p>	

Any other information/issues:	
Other Issues	LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below
<i>[Describe the issue here]</i>	<i>[Provide details and observations about the issue, what went wrong and who it affected. New rows can be added for multiple issues]</i>
<i>[Describe the issue here]</i>	<i>[Provide details and observations about the issue, what went wrong and who it affected. New rows can be added for multiple issues]</i>
<i>[Describe the issue here]</i>	<i>[Provide details and observations about the issue, what went wrong and who it affected. New rows can be added for multiple issues]</i>

Submission to Joint Organisational Learning

Do any of the issues raised during this de-brief meet the criteria for submitting to the national JOL App?	Yes/ No (delete as appropriate)
Who will submit onto JOL? (agree with all parties)	
Name	Organisation

Triggers for submission to JOL:

Any issues raised as part of this de-brief which meet any or all of the following criteria should be submitted onto JOL:

- relate to emergency response interoperability, primarily the use of M/ETHANE, the five JESIP principles for joint working and use of the Joint Decision Model;
- had an impact on the effectiveness of at least two of the response organisations;
- impeded successful interoperability;
- are known recurring issues; and/or
- if resolved could benefit other organisations therefore may have national impact.