



UMPIRE NAME:	LOCATION:		DATE:
OBJECTIVES	Operational/Tactical	Delete as a	ppropriate
<b>Communication</b>			
Is common			
terminology used?			
Is Airwave			
interoperability talk			
group used?			
Is relevant information			
shared across all			
services and control			
rooms throughout the			
incident?			
Were commanders			
easily identifiable?			
Were tabards worn?			
What was each other's			
organisation/response?			
Was METHANE used to			
pass information to			
control?			

Date	Status	Document Name	Version	Page
18/08/2015	Published	JESIP-Umpire-Evaluation-Log-v1.0.docx	1.0	Page 1 of 7





UMPIRE NAME:	LOCATION:		DATE:
OBJECTIVES	Operational/Tactical	Delete as a <sub>l</sub>	opropriate
<u>Co-ordination</u>			
Have joint decisions on priorities been made and if so, how were the priorities arrived at and agreed?			
Are the actions joined up and therefore efficient and effective?			
Was duplication of effort negated?			
Were ALL on scene resources used appropriately?			
Was there an understanding the capability, capacity and limitations of each other's assets?			
Does someone take the lead co-ordinators role			

Date	Status	Document Name	Version	Page
18/08/2015	Published	JESIP-Umpire-Evaluation-Log-v1.0.docx	1.0	Page 2 of 7





UMPIRE NAME:	LOCATION:		DATE:
OBJECTIVES	Operational/Tactical	Delete as a	opropriate
Co-location			
What command structure is in place?			
Do Commanders meet face to face?			
Has a FCP been established?			
Do Commanders identify timely on- scene briefings?			

Date	Status	Document Name	Version	Page
18/08/2015	Published	JESIP-Umpire-Evaluation-Log-v1.0.docx	1.0	Page 3 of 7





UMPIRE NAME:	LOCATION:		DATE:
	-		
OBJECTIVES	Operational/Tactical	Delete as a	opropriate
Situational Awareness			
Do Commanders have a common understanding of what has happened, what is happening now, the consequences of events, what has to be done and each of the emergency service's roles in resolving the emergency? Was the Joint Decision Model utilised identifying: <b>Situation:</b> What is happening? What are the impacts and risks? What might happen and what is being done about it?			

Date	Status	Document Name	Version	Page
18/08/2015	Published	JESIP-Umpire-Evaluation-Log-v1.0.docx	1.0	Page 4 of 7





UMPIRE NAME:	LOCATION:	DATE:
OBJECTIVES	<b>Operational/Tactical</b> Delete a	us appropriato
		as appropriate
Situational Awareness		
<u>continued</u>		
Was the Joint Decision		
Model utilised		
identifying:		
Direction:		
What end state is		
desired?		
What is the aim and		
objective of the		
emergency response?		
What priorities will		
inform and guide		
direction?		
Action:		
Where actions		
decided?		
What needed to be		
done to achieve a		
positive end state?		

Date	Status	Document Name	Version	Page
18/08/2015	Published	JESIP-Umpire-Evaluation-Log-v1.0.docx	1.0	Page 5 of 7





UMPIRE NAME:	LOCATION:		DATE:
OBJECTIVES	Operational/Tactical	Delete as a	opropriate
Joint Understanding of			
<u>Risk</u>			
Where threats and hazards identified, understood and treated different by each emergency service			
Where limitations and capabilities of people and equipment identified			
Was a joint understanding of risk achieved by sharing information about the likelihood and potential impacts of threats and hazards			

Date	Status	Document Name	Version	Page
18/08/2015	Published	JESIP-Umpire-Evaluation-Log-v1.0.docx	1.0	Page 6 of 7





UMPIRE NAME:	LOCATION:		DATE:
OBJECTIVES	Operational/Tactical	Delete as appropriate	
Control Room			
information			
At the point of			
mobilisation of			
resources, did each			
control room have the			
same picture?			
Throughout the			
incident, was a			
common operating			
picture clearly			
identified?			
Did informative			
messages from each			
service have conflicting			
information?			
When Major incident			
was declared did			
Commanders utilise			
METHANE mnemonic?			

Have you identified anything that negatively impacted on the joint response of the services involved?

If yes, please <u>ensure the details of the issue are entered onto the JESIP Joint Organisational</u> <u>Learning (JOL) Application</u> either via your local JOL Single Point of Contact or the JESIP team.

Date	Status	Document Name	Version	Page
18/08/2015	Published	JESIP-Umpire-Evaluation-Log-v1.0.docx	1.0	Page 7 of 7